



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/521,432	01/14/2005	Keith Douglas Perring	056222-5066	8955
9629 MORGAN I F	7590 01/10/2008 . WIS & BOCKIUS LLP		EXAMINER	
1111 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW			KOPEC, MARK T	
WASHINGTON, DC 20004			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1796	
		·		
•			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/10/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/521,432	PERRING ET AL.	PERRING ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art.Unit				
	Mark Kopec	1796				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	opears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence ac	ddress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN. .136(a). In no event, however, may a d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tte, cause the application to become A	ICATION. The reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this of the capabandoned (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			٠			
	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		tters, prosecution as to the	e merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the applicatio	n.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	awn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) \boxtimes Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers	-	•				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.	•				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to th	e drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawin	g(s) is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			·			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prince application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in fority documents have bee au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National	l Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) \[\sum \text{Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)} \]	4) ☐ Interview	y Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other: _					

Application/Control Page 2

Number: 10/521,432 Art Unit: 1796

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-5, drawn to a composition.

Group II, claim(s) 6-12, drawn to a method (use of...).

The inventions listed as Groups I-II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the special technical feature shared by the two groups, namely the addition of particular compound(s), does not define a contribution over the art as required by PCT rules. See, for example, US 5,856,295; WO 00/01358; EP 0451889; EP 1184030..

This application also contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

- 1) N-ethyl-N-(3-methylphenyl)propionamide;
- 2) 2-ethyl-N-methyl-N-(3-methylphenyl)butanamide;
- 3) dihydromyrcenol;
- 4) (4-isopropylcyclohexyl) methanol;

Application/Control Page 3

Number: 10/521,432

Art Unit: 1796

5) 3-methyl-5-phenylpentan-1-ol;

- 6) 2,2,2-trichloro-1-phenylethyl acetate;
- 7) isobornyl acetate;
- 8) allyl amyl glycolate;
- 9) alpha-terpineol;
- 10) acetyl cedrene;
- 11) tetrahydrogeraniol;
- 12) citronellal;
- 13) cuminic aldehyde;
- 14) cis-jasmone;
- 15) pine American oil;
- 16) peppermint (Chinese);
- 17) 1,3,3-trimethyl-2-norbornanol;
- 18) gamma-nonalactone;
- 19) octahydro-2H-chromen-2-one;
- 20) cis-4-decenal;
- 21) 3-(3-isopropylphenyl)butanal.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a

Art Unit: 1796

claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEF \$ 809.02(a).

The following claim(s) are generic: 1-12.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Each of the recited species possesses a different elemental structure and belongs to different class of chemical compounds.

A telephone call was made to Mr. Paul Kokulis on 12/24/07 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

Art Unit: 1796

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 1796

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Kopec whose telephone number is (571) 272-1319. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 9:30 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on (571) 272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.



Art Unit: 1796

Page 7

/Mark Kopec/ Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1796

MK

January 6, 2008